



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/700,738

11/04/2003

Brian Pope

MDX / 297

4966

26875 7590 10/23/2007  
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP  
2700 CAREW TOWER  
441 VINE STREET  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

EXAMINER

MACNEILL, ELIZABETH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3767

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/23/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/700,738

Applicant(s)

POPE ET AL.

Examiner

Elizabeth R. MacNeill

Art Unit

3767

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-36 and 46-80 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-36 and 46-80 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8 August 2007 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3,5-31, 46-67,72-74, and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by JHUBOO (US 5,501,665).

Regarding claims 1,13,46,55,74,78 Jhuboo teaches a device and a method of automatically detecting an occlusion in a fluid line of a syringe pump, the syringe pump (8) including a housing (10) adapted to support a syringe (12) containing a plunger (18) moveable inside the syringe by pushing an end of a plunger with a pusher (14) to expel fluid from an outlet of the syringe into a fluid line (tube shown in Figure 2) connected to the outlet and configured to carry the fluid under pressure to a patient, the method

Art Unit: 3767

comprising: mounting the syringe onto the housing with the plunger end extended; coupling the pusher to the end of the plunger; initiating a pumping sequence to cause the fluid to flow into the fluid line; during the pumping sequence, using a sensor (36) to determine a first force value indicative of force in the fluid line at time T1; during the pumping sequence, determining a second force value indicative of force in the fluid line at time T2; and providing an indication of the occlusion if a relationship between the first and second force values departs from an expected slope relationship. Col 1 lines 33-44.

Jhuboo uses the terms "initial pressure" and "second pressure" in the description mean pressures (Col 4, lines 55-65). Jhuboo does not disclose using "instantaneous force values" (note that force and pressure are interchangeable since the force is used to calculate the pressure, Col 4 at line 30). However, the limit as  $\Delta t$  approaches 0 is an instantaneous force. Jhuboo suggests using an instantaneous force at Col 5, lines 40-52. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected the method of Jhuboo to perform equally well using an instantaneous force value since Jhuboo discloses that it would react faster to an obstruction.

Regarding claims 2,14,24 an alarm is triggered when an occlusion is detected; therefore a no-alarm condition indicates there is no occlusion

Regarding claims 3,15,57 a steady-state condition is determined (gradient constant)

Art Unit: 3767

Regarding claims 16-18, the gradient constant is determined from the startup time period and startup fluid volume, since the gradient constant is a function of the flow rate, or a function of volume and time.

Regarding claims 5,23,48,60 a window (time interval) is determined for T1 and T2

Regarding claims 6,25,56,58,61 an expected slope relationship (gradient constant) is compared to the first and second force values

Regarding claims 7,8,10,26,27,29,50,52,62,63,65 a trial slope (and occlusion slope, or gradient constant) (flow rate) is determined using the first and second force values and compared to an occlusion slope

Regarding claims 9,28,47,49,51,64 the expected relationship is compared to the relationship between the first and second force values to determine if an occlusion exists.

Regarding claims 11,21,30,66 a time window is shifted to obtain an additional force value

Regarding claims 12,31,67 the indication of occlusion is cancelled when the comparison between the trial slope and the occlusion slope (or gradient constant) are compared

Regarding claims 19,20,22,59 a sensor (force transducer 36) is used to determine the first and second force values.

Regarding claims 53,54,72, and 73, a third pressure measurement after time T3 is taken to be compared to the first two measurements.

Art Unit: 3767

3. Claims 32,34,36,68,70,76,77 and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jhuboo as applied to claims 13,55,74 and 78 above, and further in view of Tribe.

As disclosed above, Jhuboo teaches an occlusion detector where the slope of two forces over a time interval is compared to a gradient constant to determine if an occlusion exists. Jhuboo does not discuss altering the fluid flow rate beyond the indication to the user that an occlusion exists via an alarm.

Tribe teaches that an automatic syringe pump can be controlled by an occlusion detector to reverse the flow rate and require manual restart of the pump after an occlusion has occurred (P0005-0009).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the occlusion detector of Jhuboo with the automatic fluid delivery rate controls of Tribe in order to make the pump easy to use and to prevent the user from either ignoring or failing to response to the alarm signals.

4. Claims 33,35,69,71,75, and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jhuboo as applied to claims 13,40,55,74 and 78 above, and further in view of MOBERG (US 6,485,465).

Regarding claims 33,35,45,69,71,75, and 79, Jhuboo teaches an occlusion detector where the slope of two forces over a time interval is compared to a gradient constant to determine if an occlusion exists. Jhuboo does not discuss the delivery of a bolus from the infusion pump, however any syringe pump is capable of bolus delivery.

Art Unit: 3767

Moberg teaches an infusion pump (101) and force occlusion detector (134). Moberg teaches that the occlusion detector automatically responds to force greater than the maximum allowable bolus delivery (Col 6 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the occlusion detector of Jhuboo with the bolus occlusion detection of Moberg in order to prevent a false occlusion alarm resulting in the delivery of a prescribed bolus dose.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8 August 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that using an instantaneous force value with Jhuboo would require dividing the gradient by zero (Remarks page 2). This is not the case because Jhuboo could calculate the gradient using instant values (Col 5 lines 5-15). The examiner believes that one of ordinary skill in the art would have a general knowledge of calculus sufficient to determine that using the limit as  $\Delta t$  approaches 0 would not require dividing by 0. Also by using the English description of the formulae given in Col 1, the examiner believes one of ordinary skill in the art would understand the use of instantaneous forces.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth R. MacNeill whose telephone number is (571)-272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

Art Unit: 3767

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERM



KEVIN C. SIRMONS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

